1	Brian C. Rocca, S.B #221576	Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802
2	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	dpetrocelli@omm.com
2	Sujal J. Shah, S.B #215230 sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481 smcintyre@omm.com
3	Michelle Park Chiu, S.B #248421	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	1999 Avenue of the Stars
4	Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B #259005	Los Angeles, California 90067
5	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (310) 553-6700
5	Rishi P. Satia, S.B #301958	Facsimile: (310) 246-6779
6	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	T 0'
	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Ian Simmons, pro hac vice
7	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105	isimmons@omm.com Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925
8	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	bbradshaw@omm.com
8	Facsimile: (415) 422-1001	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
9		1625 Eye Street, NW
	Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice	Washington, DC 20006
10	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (202) 383-5300
11	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Facsimile: (202) 383-5414
	101 Park Avenue	
12	New York, NY 10178	
	Telephone: (212) 309-6000 Facsimile: (212) 309-6001	
13	Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC, et al.	
14	Thomas is brinding coogle and, or an	
•	HINTED OT ATEC	DISTRICT COURT
15	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16 1	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
1617		
17		CT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION
17		
17 18 19	SAN FRANCIS IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	SCO DIVISION
17 18	SAN FRANCIS IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
17 18 19 20	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA
17 18 19	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND
17 18 19 20	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE
17 18 19 20 21 22	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE
17 18 19 20 21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE
17 18 19 20 21 22	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD In re Google Play Developer Antitrust	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO COMPLAINTS
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO COMPLAINTS
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO COMPLAINTS
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO COMPLAINTS
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD DECLARATION OF BRIAN C. ROCCA IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE FOR GOOGLE'S RESPONSIVE PLEADINGS TO COMPLAINTS

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 1. I am a partner at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Payment Corp., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Alphabet Inc. ("Defendants" or "Google") in this multi-district litigation (MDL). I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California and admitted to practice in the state and federal courts of California. I respectfully submit this declaration pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a) in support of Parties' Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Deadline for Google's Deadline For Google's Responsive Pleadings to Complaints. I have personal knowledge of the facts or circumstances set forth herein. If called upon as a witness in this action, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On July 21, 2021, Epic Games, Inc., Developer Plaintiffs, and Consumer Plaintiffs filed amended complaints against Google. MDL ECF No. 62-64.
- 3. On July 7, 2021, certain States filed a complaint against Google. Case No. 21cv-05227-JD, ECF No. 1. That case was related to the other actions in the MDL. Case No. 21cv-05227-JD, ECF Nos. 35, 37.
- 4. On August 13, 2021, Parties filed a joint case management statement proposing a briefing schedule in which Google's Motions to Dismiss all four complaints would be due on September 8, 2021, Plaintiffs' Oppositions would be due on October 15, 2021, and Google's Reply would be due on November 2, 2021. MDL ECF No. 77.
- 5. On August 17, 2021, the Court entered an order approving the Parties' proposed briefing schedule and setting the hearing on Google's Motions to Dismiss for November 18, 2021. MDL ECF No. 78.
- 6. In lieu of moving to dismiss, Google has elected to file responsive pleadings to the four complaints.
- 7. On September 7, 2021, I contacted counsel for Plaintiffs in each of the four actions in the MDL to inform them of Google's decision to file responsive pleadings to the complaints. Each counsel confirmed that their clients would stipulate to October 11, 2021 as the deadline for Google to file responsive pleadings to the complaints.

- 8. Other than vacating all deadlines associated with the motions to dismiss, an order approving the Parties' stipulation as to the deadline for Google's filing of responsive pleadings will not alter any other deadlines already fixed by Court order.
- 9. This is the first extension requested by Google regarding its responsive pleadings to any of the complaints in this MDL.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 8th day of September, 2021 in San Francisco, California.

Brian C. Rocca